

# **Between Chalkboards and Corridors: A Comparative Reflection on Nigerian and UK Educational Practice**

Obinna Joshua Ugo Nwoko <sup>1</sup>, Lovejane Chinaza Chidi-Iwobi <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Educational Foundations and Management/ Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria*

<sup>2</sup> *Independent Academic Researcher/ Liverpool, United Kingdom*

---

## **Abstract:**

*This study presents a comparative analysis of educational practices, policies, and outcomes in Nigeria and the United Kingdom, with the aim of identifying systemic strengths, gaps, and opportunities for reform in both contexts. Drawing upon over a decade of lived experience teaching in multiple Nigerian states, including Anambra, Imo (Owerri), Kano, and Bayelsa, and professional engagement within UK schools, the research employs a mixed-methods approach combining reflective practitioner insights with triangulated secondary data from government reports, academic studies, and media sources. Key areas of focus include teacher workload, resource allocation, pedagogical approaches, professional development, inclusion and diversity, and systemic accountability. Findings indicate significant disparities in workload management, access to instructional materials, and professional development structures, with Nigerian teachers facing chronic under-resourcing, limited CPD, and exam-driven curricula, while UK educators contend with structured support frameworks, formal performance review systems, and differentiated instruction models. Notably, UK inclusion policies offer insights into supporting multilingual learners and culturally diverse student populations, highlighting lessons transferable to the Nigerian context. The study demonstrates that comparative research not only informs policy reform and teacher practice in Nigeria but also provides strategic value for the UK by strengthening global educational leadership, enhancing support for migrant and diaspora students, and fostering intercultural pedagogical innovation. Ultimately, this work positions comparative education as a bridge for evidence-based reform, emphasising equity, inclusion, and sustainable improvement across diverse educational ecosystems.*

**Conclusion:** *This study examined critical differences and commonalities between the Nigerian and UK education systems, focusing on infrastructure, teacher welfare, inclusion, and gender dynamics. The findings reveal stark contrasts in resource allocation, policy implementation, and support structures, highlighting areas where Nigeria faces systemic challenges and where the UK can learn from innovative practices in resource-limited settings. Notably, the study underscores that effective education depends not only on material resources but also on teacher support, policy fidelity, and adaptive strategies in diverse classroom contexts. By illuminating these realities, the research provides a foundation for policymakers, educators, and researchers to design interventions that strengthen teaching quality, promote equity, and prepare students for increasingly complex and multicultural learning environments. Ultimately, the study emphasises that meaningful improvements in education require both systemic investment and contextualised, innovative approaches.*

**Keywords:** *Comparative Education, Nigeria, United Kingdom, Teacher Workload, Educational Policy, Professional Development, Inclusion and Diversity, Systemic Accountability*

---

## **1.0 Introduction**

Education is often heralded as the engine of national progress, social mobility, and cultural continuity. Around the world, countries invest heavily in schooling, teacher training, and educational policy. However, the lived realities in classrooms frequently diverge starkly from the ideal. For nations like Nigeria, where rapid population growth, socioeconomic inequalities, and infrastructure deficits intersect, the challenge of delivering quality, equitable education is especially daunting. In contrast, more resourced systems, such as that of the United Kingdom (UK) present a contrasting model, with structured teacher support, regulated workloads, and a robust policy environment. By comparing these two contexts, this study seeks to reveal structural strengths and systemic weaknesses, not to glorify one system over another, but to draw lessons that can inform inclusive, evidence-based reforms globally.

The urgency for such comparative analysis is heightened by recent data: in Nigeria, the national basic education system reportedly lacks 194,876 qualified teachers and faces a shortage of over 1.1 million classrooms. Around 33.3% of teachers in basic schools lack proper qualifications, leaving nearly half a million unqualified teachers nationwide. These deficits exacerbate overcrowded classrooms, limit instructional quality, and erode learning outcomes.

Meanwhile, data from the UK's state-funded schools in 2024/25 show a more manageable pupil-teacher ratio: 20.8 pupils per primary teacher, and 16.7 pupils per secondary teacher. Such disparities raise critical questions about equity, teacher wellbeing, resource allocation, and educational effectiveness across global contexts.

Nonetheless, even within the UK there are pressures. Reports indicate rising teacher vacancies and recruitment challenges; in 2024, more than six teaching posts per 1,000 remained unfilled, the highest vacancy rate on record. These trends suggest that resource-rich systems are not immune to challenges. That said, the existence of institutional frameworks, formal professional development pathways, regulated staffing patterns, diversity and inclusion policies, and accountability mechanisms provides a buffer that many under-resourced contexts lack.

Against this backdrop, this research draws on the author's over a decade of teaching experience across multiple Nigerian states. Anambra (Awka), Imo (Owerri), Kano (Tudun Wada), and Bayelsa (Amarata), as well as professional teaching work in UK schools. Combined with a wide range of secondary sources (official statistics, policy reports, academic studies, and media coverage), the study uses a comparative, mixed method approach to examine six core dimensions: teacher workload; instructional resources and infrastructure; pedagogical approaches and assessment culture; professional development and teacher support; inclusion, diversity, and student teacher demographics; and systemic governance and accountability.

### **1.1 The Following Questions guide the Research:**

- i. What structural challenges and strengths define the educational environments in Nigeria and the UK across the six core dimensions?
- ii. How do differences in resource allocation, teacher supply, pedagogical approach, and institutional support impact teacher performance and student outcomes?
- iii. What lessons and policy-relevant insights can a comparative study offer to support equitable, sustainable educational reform, both in Nigeria and in contexts hosting migrant and diasporic students such as the UK?

The significance of this study is threefold. First, it provides empirical grounding for the debates on global educational inequality by combining practitioner insight and quantitative evidence. Second, it offers actionable recommendations for policymakers, school leaders, and educational stakeholders seeking to strengthen education systems in under-resourced contexts. Third, it contributes to comparative education scholarship by framing educational reform not purely as a technological or infrastructural challenge, but as a deeply socio-cultural, pedagogical, and governance-based endeavor, one that requires attention to equity, inclusion, and human-centred values.

In the sections that follow, the study will review existing literature on educational disparities and reform, outline the methodology grounded in lived experience plus secondary data triangulation, present findings from both contexts, engage in a comparative analysis, and conclude with policy-oriented recommendations. The objective is not to cast judgment, but to build a bridge across continents, systems, and conditions, towards better teaching, learning, and educational justice.

## **2.0 Statement of Problem**

Educational systems globally face the dual challenge of improving quality while ensuring equity and inclusion. In Nigeria, these challenges are amplified by resource constraints, demographic pressures, and historical underinvestment. The Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC) reports that over 33% of teachers in public primary schools are inadequately trained, with some states experiencing teacher shortages exceeding 40% of the workforce. (UBEC, 2023) Overcrowded classrooms, insufficient instructional materials, and intermittent electricity and internet access further hinder effective learning. Studies indicate that pupils in rural Nigerian schools are nearly

50% less likely to meet literacy and numeracy benchmarks compared to their urban peers. (World Bank, 2022) Teacher strikes, motivated by delayed salaries, poor welfare, and infrastructural deficits, occur frequently, disrupting instructional continuity and compounding learning losses. (Punch, 2024)

In contrast, the United Kingdom maintains comparatively stable infrastructure and staffing levels, though it is not immune to challenges. Teacher shortages, especially in STEM and special education needs (SEN) subjects, have intensified in recent years, with vacancy rates reaching record highs in some regions. (Gov.uk, 2024) Class sizes remain manageable, averaging 21 pupils in primary schools and 17 in secondary schools, which supports differentiated instruction and student-centred pedagogy. Inclusive education policies are more formally embedded, providing more explicit guidance for accommodating students with diverse linguistic, cultural, and learning needs. (DfE, 2023) Professional development pathways in the UK are structured, with regular CPD, mentoring, and performance review systems, in contrast to the largely ad hoc training opportunities in Nigeria. (OECD, 2022)

These disparities are not merely logistical; they shape pedagogical approaches, teacher wellbeing, and student outcomes. In Nigeria, the rigid, exam-driven curriculum often forces a teacher-centred, lecture-based model that prioritises coverage over comprehension, limiting opportunities for critical thinking and problem-solving. (Adeosun, 2021) Conversely, the UK's assessment frameworks support flexibility, student-centred learning, and differentiated instruction, fostering engagement and creativity. (Ofsted, 2023)

This context highlights a pressing need for comparative analysis. By juxtaposing the Nigerian and UK systems, this research illuminates structural inequities, pedagogical differences, and professional development gaps. Moreover, it identifies actionable insights for policymakers, educational leaders, and practitioners seeking to enhance equity, inclusion, and quality learning outcomes. Beyond Nigeria, such comparisons inform strategies for UK schools serving multilingual, migrant, and diaspora students, strengthening cross-cultural pedagogy and global educational leadership. Ultimately, this study positions lived experience, supplemented by secondary evidence, as a catalyst for evidence-based reform that transcends borders and fosters sustainable, inclusive education.

### **3.0 Review of Related Literature**

#### **3.1 Educational Governance and Structural Frameworks**

Educational governance shapes how resources, policies, and accountability systems function, directly impacting teaching and learning. In Nigeria, the federal structure decentralises education, but inconsistent implementation creates disparities across states. According to the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS, 2022), funding allocation varies significantly, with southern states typically receiving higher per-student expenditure than northern states. This structural variability often translates into differences in school facilities, teacher availability, and student outcomes.

In contrast, the UK maintains a relatively standardised governance framework through centralised guidelines provided by the Department for Education (DfE) and inspected by Ofsted. Local authorities play a supportive, rather than regulatory, role. Research by West and Pennell (2021) highlights that this uniformity allows more predictable planning, standardised professional development, and quality assurance mechanisms.

#### **3.2 Pedagogical Practices and Curriculum Orientation**

Pedagogy in Nigerian schools is heavily influenced by exam-oriented curricula, where memorisation and teacher-centred instruction dominate. Adeosun (2021) notes that secondary schools often prioritise coverage of syllabus content over comprehension or critical thinking, limiting opportunities for creativity. Classroom observation reports indicate that student engagement is frequently low, particularly in overcrowded settings with limited resources (World Bank, 2022).

By contrast, the UK curriculum emphasizes student-centred, competency-based learning. Differentiated instruction, formative assessment, and project-based learning are embedded within both primary and secondary education (Ofsted, 2023). Research demonstrates that such flexibility increases engagement, nurtures critical thinking, and improves social-emotional outcomes (Hattie, 2018). Comparative analysis suggests that while Nigerian classrooms struggle to

implement similar approaches due to structural and resource constraints, adopting elements of flexible assessment could improve learning outcomes.

### **3.3 Teacher Professional Development and Workload**

Teacher development significantly influences pedagogical quality and student outcomes. In Nigeria, teacher training often occurs pre-service, with limited follow-up CPD. Surveys by UBEC (2023) show that only 42% of teachers in public schools participated in structured in-service training in the last three years. Mentorship and performance evaluation are largely informal, leaving teachers without feedback loops that could enhance instructional practice.

In the UK, teachers benefit from systematic CPD pathways, mentoring schemes, and structured performance reviews (OECD, 2022). Workload management frameworks, while challenging, are formalised through policies regulating class sizes, preparation time, and administrative responsibilities. Comparative studies indicate that teacher efficacy and retention improve when professional development is continuous, structured, and contextually relevant (Day & Gu, 2014).

### **3.4 Resource Allocation and Infrastructure**

Resource availability directly impacts learning environments. Nigerian schools, particularly in rural regions, frequently lack textbooks, laboratory equipment, and digital tools (World Bank, 2022). Infrastructure gaps such as inadequate electricity, internet connectivity, and classroom space limit the adoption of innovative pedagogical methods. In contrast, UK schools generally enjoy stable infrastructure, with digital learning resources increasingly integrated into instruction (DfE, 2022). These differences create systemic inequities that affect not only student learning but also teacher capacity to innovate.

### **3.5 Inclusion, Diversity, and Equity**

Inclusion is a critical metric of educational quality. In Nigeria, SEN support and multilingual instruction are inconsistent. Research by Okeke (2021) indicates that children with learning disabilities or from non-dominant linguistic backgrounds often face exclusion from mainstream instruction. Conversely, the UK has established legal frameworks and policy guidelines that promote inclusion, including SEN coordinators, differentiation mandates, and EAL programmes (DfE, 2023). Comparative analysis underscores the potential for Nigeria to adopt contextually relevant inclusion strategies and for the UK to learn from grassroots approaches in diverse Nigerian classrooms.

### **3.6 Teacher-Led Innovation and Grassroots Practices**

Despite systemic challenges, Nigerian teachers frequently develop improvisational strategies to overcome resource gaps. Chidi-Iwobi (2022) documents classroom innovations, such as the use of culturally familiar examples and improvised teaching aids, that significantly enhance student engagement. The UK system, while resource-rich, may overlook such community-driven innovation, relying more on top-down instructional strategies. Comparative research highlights that reciprocal learning, where the UK examines adaptive Nigerian practices, can inform teacher professional development and cross-cultural pedagogy.

## **4.0 Results and Findings**

### **4.1 Classroom Infrastructure and Learning Resources**

#### **Nigeria**

Classroom infrastructure remains a significant challenge across many Nigerian schools. Reports from the Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC, 2021) indicate that over 60% of public primary schools operate with inadequate classrooms, overcrowded facilities, and insufficient instructional materials. My lived experience reinforces this: in Kano State, several primary schools had class sizes exceeding 50 students, with minimal textbooks and outdated teaching aids. Overcrowding often hampers student engagement, creates stress for teachers, and limits differentiated instruction (Olayemi, 2020). Teacher improvisation is typical, as educators create homemade resources to address material deficits. In some schools, the lack of stable electricity or internet connectivity further impedes the implementation of modern pedagogical practices, especially in integrating digital learning or multimedia instruction.

#### **United Kingdom**

In contrast, UK schools generally provide well-maintained classrooms, access to textbooks, ICT resources, and stable infrastructure (DfE, 2022). Average class sizes range from 20 to 30 students, allowing for more individualised

attention and student-centred practices. Schools also have structured funding mechanisms for instructional materials and technology integration, including interactive whiteboards, digital learning platforms, and libraries (Ofsted, 2021). However, disparities exist within the UK: schools in economically disadvantaged areas report limited access to technology or specialised learning resources (NFER, 2022). These gaps highlight that even in high-income contexts, equitable distribution of learning resources remains an ongoing challenge.

### **Comparative Insights and Implications**

The contrast is stark: Nigerian schools face chronic infrastructural deficits that hinder effective teaching and learning, whereas UK schools primarily benefit from predictable funding and structured resource allocation. Yet the UK must still address inequalities in deprived areas, emphasising that no system is perfect. For Nigeria, evidence suggests that targeted investment in classroom infrastructure, consistent provision of instructional materials, and integration of digital tools could dramatically enhance learning outcomes. For the UK, lessons from Nigeria's improvisational and adaptive teaching approaches could inspire innovative pedagogical flexibility, particularly in under-resourced schools or emergencies.

## **4.2 Policy and Governance in Education**

### **Nigeria**

Nigeria's education system is characterised by fragmented governance and politicised policy implementation. The Federal Ministry of Education sets broad national standards, but enforcement at state and local levels is inconsistent (Adeyemi & Olaleye, 2021). Policy reforms often focus on headline initiatives rather than systemic issues, leading to gaps between policy intentions and classroom realities. Strikes are common, with teachers demanding unpaid salaries, adequate resources, or improved working conditions (Ojo, 2020). These disruptions underscore systemic weaknesses: insufficient oversight, delayed policy execution, and political interference compromise educational quality. Moreover, curricula are heavily exam-oriented, prioritising rote memorisation and high-stakes testing over critical thinking and student-centred learning (Okebukola, 2018). This top-down approach often leaves teachers with little autonomy to innovate or adapt instruction to student needs.

### **United Kingdom**

The UK operates a more structured governance framework that balances central oversight with school autonomy. The Department for Education provides national standards, accountability measures, and funding, while schools enjoy operational flexibility through governance boards (DfE, 2022). Policies on curriculum, teacher performance, and assessment are backed by systematic monitoring and evidence-based evaluation. Ofsted inspections ensure compliance, identify gaps, and provide recommendations for improvement (Ofsted, 2021). Curriculum reforms in the UK, such as the National Curriculum updates, incorporate feedback loops and research evidence, emphasising critical thinking, creativity, and inclusivity (Alexander, 2020). Challenges exist, particularly in maintaining policy consistency across devolved regions such as Scotland, Wales, and Northern Ireland, and in addressing inequalities in socioeconomically disadvantaged areas.

### **Comparative Insights and Implications**

The Nigerian system struggles with implementation fidelity, politicisation, and teacher disenfranchisement, all of which directly affect classroom outcomes. The UK benefits from structured policy frameworks, robust monitoring, and evidence-informed reforms, though it still grapples with regional disparities and socio-economic inequities. Comparative analysis suggests that Nigeria could benefit from adopting accountability mechanisms, evidence-based reform cycles, and teacher engagement in policy development. Conversely, the UK can learn from Nigeria's adaptive strategies in informal education and community engagement, highlighting the value of localised problem-solving in policy application.

## **4.3 Pedagogical Approaches and Instructional Methods**

### **Nigeria**

Classroom instruction in Nigeria remains teacher-centred mainly and exam-driven, with the curriculum prioritising memorisation over critical thinking (Okebukola, 2018; Ojo, 2020). Lessons frequently follow rigid pacing guides, leaving little room for differentiated instruction or student-led inquiry. In many public schools, large class sizes sometimes exceeding 50 students limit meaningful engagement (UNESCO, 2022). Resource constraints exacerbate these challenges: textbooks are often outdated, laboratories are poorly equipped, and digital tools are largely absent (Adeyemi & Olaleye, 2021).

Despite these constraints, grassroots innovations exist. Drawing on my experience teaching in primary and secondary schools across Imo, Kano, and Bayelsa States, improvisational strategies, such as using local materials to teach science concepts or integrating culturally familiar examples into literacy lessons, significantly improved student engagement and comprehension. For instance, structured phonics exercises adapted with local vocabulary increased literacy participation by approximately 35% in multilingual classrooms. However, these teacher-led innovations remain under-recognised in formal policy frameworks, limiting their broader impact (Chidi-Iwobi, 2021).

### **United Kingdom**

UK pedagogical practice emphasises student-centred learning, differentiated instruction, and competency-based assessment (Alexander, 2020; DfE, 2022). Class sizes are smaller, averaging 25–30 students in primary schools, allowing for individualised attention (OECD, 2022). Teachers are trained to implement inclusive pedagogies that accommodate diverse learning needs, including multilingual learners and students with SEN. Technology integration is widespread, from adaptive learning software to interactive whiteboards, supporting personalised feedback and formative assessment (DfE, 2022).

However, UK classrooms face challenges too. Standardised assessment expectations can constrain teacher autonomy, and schools in socioeconomically deprived areas often struggle with resource limitations (OECD, 2022). Moreover, while differentiated instruction is encouraged, the implementation quality varies across regions and schools, affecting equity of learning outcomes.

### **Comparative Insights and Implications**

Nigeria's teacher-centred, exam-focused model contrasts sharply with the UK's student-centred, flexible approach. While UK students benefit from structured differentiation and technology support, Nigerian students often rely on teacher improvisation to bridge resource gaps. The comparative analysis underscores the importance of balancing systemic structures with local adaptation. Nigeria could gain from embedding formalised, research-backed differentiated strategies into curricula. At the same time, the UK could learn from Nigeria's culturally responsive and improvisational techniques, which can enrich engagement for diverse learners.

## **4.4 Teacher Professional Development and Welfare**

### **Nigeria**

Professional development opportunities for Nigerian teachers are inconsistent and often limited to sporadic workshops or government-led training initiatives that may not align with classroom realities (Okebukola, 2018; Ojo, 2020). Mentorship programs are rare, and continuous professional development (CPD) pathways are largely informal. Salary irregularities and underpayment compound the challenges, contributing to low morale and high attrition rates (Adeyemi & Olaleye, 2021; UNESCO, 2022).

Drawing from my teaching experience across Imo State (Owerri), Kano, and Bayelsa States, I observed that teachers frequently relied on peer collaboration and improvisation to improve pedagogy. While these grassroots approaches enhance classroom practice, their reach is limited, and systemic recognition or scaling of these initiatives is minimal. The lack of structured evaluation of teacher performance means that innovative teaching methods are rarely institutionalized or formally rewarded, affecting long-term educational quality.

### **United Kingdom**

In contrast, the UK provides structured CPD pathways for teachers, including mentorship schemes, formal performance reviews, and dedicated professional learning days (DfE, 2022; Alexander, 2020). Teacher welfare is supported through predictable salaries, regulated working hours, and access to wellbeing resources. Cover systems and professional support networks allow teachers to participate in training without compromising classroom coverage (OECD, 2022). Evidence shows that sustained CPD improves teacher confidence, instructional quality, and student outcomes, particularly in addressing inclusion and differentiated instruction needs (Hargreaves & Fullan, 2012).

However, challenges remain. Teachers in the UK still report stress related to workload, curriculum pressures, and accountability demands, and rural or deprived areas experience disparities in access to high-quality CPD (OECD,

2022). Additionally, teacher turnover, while lower than in Nigeria, is affected by burnout and the demands of high-stakes assessment systems.

### **Comparative Insights and Implications**

Key disparities include:

- i. Workload Management** — UK systems demonstrate the value of regulated workloads, enabling teachers to focus on instructional quality. Nigeria's unregulated workload undermines teacher wellbeing and effectiveness.
- ii. Professional Development Structures** — The UK's structured CPD contrasts with Nigeria's ad-hoc initiatives. Systematic training pipelines could improve Nigerian teacher performance and retention.
- iii. Welfare and Retention** — Predictable salaries and formal support structures in the UK correlate with lower attrition. In Nigeria, financial insecurity and lack of welfare reduce motivation and educational outcomes.
- iv. Policy Implications** — Implementing formal teacher support, appraisal frameworks, and structured CPD in Nigeria could improve teaching quality and reduce stress. The UK can refine approaches for diverse classrooms by integrating innovative strategies from global contexts.

This comparative evaluation underscores that teacher welfare, professional growth, and workload regulation are critical determinants of education quality. Nigeria has apparent gaps to address, while the UK demonstrates effective models but still faces challenges in urban high-need contexts.

## **4.5 Inclusion, Diversity, and Equity in Nigerian and UK Educational Systems**

### **Nigeria: Current Landscape and Challenges**

Inclusion, diversity, and equity remain significant challenges within Nigeria's primary and secondary education systems. According to the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO, 2022), only 58% of children with disabilities in Nigeria have access to formal education. Multilingual learners, particularly in states with diverse ethnic populations such as Kano and Bayelsa, often face barriers in classrooms where instruction is primarily delivered in English, limiting comprehension and engagement (Adewale & Oloyede, 2021).

Teacher shortages exacerbate these inequities. Nigeria suffers from a pupil-to-teacher ratio exceeding 40:1 in many public schools, particularly in rural areas, making individualised instruction and differentiation challenging (World Bank, 2021). Strikes over unpaid salaries and poor working conditions have repeatedly disrupted schooling, contributing to learning gaps among vulnerable populations (Nigeria Teachers Union Report, 2022).

Cultural marginalisation also remains a concern. Curricula tend to focus heavily on standardised content, with little emphasis on local languages, indigenous knowledge, or artistic representation. As Gay (2010) and Ladson-Billings (1995) highlight, culturally responsive teaching is crucial for engagement and equity, yet in Nigeria, such approaches are rarely systematically implemented.

Implications: Students from minority backgrounds, learners with special educational needs (SEN), and multilingual pupils are disproportionately affected. This contributes to cycles of marginalisation, lower achievement, and disengagement. Teacher stress is compounded when systemic support for inclusive practice is minimal, leading to high attrition in public schools (OECD, 2022).

### **United Kingdom: Current Landscape and Challenges**

The UK has a more structured approach to inclusion and diversity. SEN policies are clearly codified under the Children and Families Act (2014) and subsequent government guidelines, ensuring that schools provide targeted support for learners with disabilities, learning difficulties, or emotional needs. According to the Department for Education (DfE, 2023), 14.3% of pupils in England receive SEN support, with individualised education plans (IEPs) implemented across primary and secondary schools.

Multilingual learners and students from diverse cultural backgrounds are supported through English as an Additional Language (EAL) program, and national strategies aim to reduce attainment gaps for Black, Asian, and minority ethnic

(BAME) students (DfE, 2022). Teacher training in the UK also emphasizes equity and inclusion, with mandatory continuing professional development (CPD) in inclusive pedagogy, classroom differentiation, and culturally responsive teaching.

Despite these strengths, challenges remain. Teacher workload, even in the UK, can limit the capacity to fully implement individualized support (National Foundation for Educational Research, 2022). Achievement gaps persist for some minority groups, particularly in urban schools with high pupil diversity.

Implications: While the UK system demonstrates stronger institutional frameworks for equity and inclusion, persistent gaps indicate the need for ongoing policy refinement and culturally responsive practice. Nigerian strategies in grassroots innovation, such as improvisation with limited resources, can offer insights into creative inclusion strategies that are low-cost yet effective, particularly in diverse classroom settings.

#### 4.6 Comparative Insights on Inclusion and Diversity

##### Cross-Context Comparison

Aspect	Nigeria	United Kingdom	Implications
Policy Framework	Weak, inconsistent SEN and inclusion policies	Codified SEN and inclusion policies, EAL support.	Nigeria requires systemic policy reform; the UK benefits from reviewing the efficacy of its policies in practice.
Teacher Support	Limited training in inclusion, inconsistent materials	Structured CPD, inclusion-focused training	Comparative learning can improve preparedness in both contexts
Resource Availability	High pupil-teacher ratios, inadequate learning materials	Lower ratios, consistent access to resources	Nigeria need investment in staffing and materials. The UK can explore low-resource innovation strategies.
Multilingual Learners	Underserved, English-dominated instruction	Supported through EAL programs	Highlights the value of language-responsive pedagogy in Nigeria; the UK can enhance support for heritage languages
Cultural Representation	Minimal integration of indigenous knowledge or cultural diversity	Curriculum incorporates multicultural perspectives	Nigeria can benefit from integrating local knowledge; the UK can learn from grassroots innovation and context-aware strategies

##### Key Takeaway

The comparative study demonstrates that while the UK leads in structured inclusion and diversity frameworks, Nigeria's classrooms show resilience and improvisational creativity that can inspire cost-effective inclusion strategies. For Nigeria, systemic policy reform, teacher training, and investment in resources are critical. For the UK, reflecting on the challenges of resource-constrained innovation and diverse linguistic environments can enhance global education leadership and prepare teachers for increasingly multicultural classrooms (OECD, 2022; UNESCO, 2022; DfE, 2023).

#### 4.7 Teacher Workload and Welfare in Nigeria and the United Kingdom

##### 4.7.1 Nigeria: Current Landscape

Teacher workload in Nigeria's primary and secondary schools remains a long-standing structural challenge. According to UNESCO (2022), teachers in public schools often manage pupil-to-teacher ratios exceeding 40:1, with some northern states reporting ratios above 45:1. High enrolment, insufficient staffing, and limited instructional resources

place significant pressure on teachers, creating conditions in which lesson planning, assessment, and pastoral support become overwhelming.

Compensation and welfare issues further intensify workload stress. Delays in salary payments, limited access to professional development, and inadequate infrastructural support contribute to frequent industrial actions among primary and secondary school teachers (Nigeria Union of Teachers Report, 2022). These disruptions disproportionately impact learners from low-income backgrounds, widening existing educational inequities.

Teacher burnout is also exacerbated by the expectation to deliver curriculum content without the tools needed for differentiation, inclusive practice, or modern pedagogy. As Okeke and Aderibigbe (2021) note, Nigerian teachers often rely on improvisation to address learning needs, yet such adaptation requires additional time and energy without corresponding institutional support.

**Implications:** Teacher welfare challenges have direct consequences on instructional quality, learner outcomes, and classroom equity. Systemic reforms in remuneration, staffing, professional development, and working conditions are essential for sustainable improvement.

#### 4.7.2 United Kingdom: Current Landscape

In the UK, teacher workload is widely recognised as a critical factor influencing retention and professional satisfaction. The Department for Education (DfE, 2023) highlights that administrative duties, extensive marking policies, and data-driven accountability demands contribute significantly to workload pressure. Although pupil-to-teacher ratios are comparatively lower than in Nigeria, the expectation for personalised learning, pastoral care, and compliance with safeguarding frameworks places high cognitive and emotional demands on educators.

Welfare provisions in the UK are stronger and better defined. Structured CPD, wellbeing initiatives, and contractual protections provide a more supportive professional environment. However, surveys by the National Foundation for Educational Research (2022) indicate that teacher attrition remains a persistent issue, with stress levels particularly high in urban schools and institutions serving diverse socio-economic populations.

**Implications:** While the UK maintains clearer welfare frameworks and workload guidelines, rising demands in behaviour management, assessment, and inclusive practice highlight ongoing systemic strains. Continued investment in workload reduction strategies, flexible scheduling, and mental health support is necessary.

#### 4.7.3 Comparative Insights

Aspect	Nigeria	United Kingdom	Implications
Pupil-Teacher Ratio	Frequently high, often exceeding 40:1	Lower ratios, more balanced class sizes	Nigeria requires investment in staffing, while the UK benefits from workload-reduction policies.
Administrative Workload	Moderate, but amplified by poor infrastructure and limited resources	High administrative load due to accountability systems	Highlights structural inefficiencies in both contexts
Welfare Support	Inconsistent salary payments, weak benefits	Well-defined welfare policies, mental health initiatives	Nigeria requires systemic financial reforms; the UK still faces stress-driven attrition
Professional Development	Limited access, inconsistent delivery	Structured CPD embedded in career progression	Nigeria needs sustainable CPD funding; the UK needs CPD that reduces workload rather than adds to it.
Industrial Actions	Frequent due to salary and welfare issues	Less frequent, driven by workload and policy pressures	Illustrates differing structural stressors affecting teacher wellbeing

### **Key Takeaway**

Both countries face significant workload-related challenges, albeit shaped by different systemic realities. Nigeria's issues stem primarily from underfunding, large class sizes, and inconsistent welfare provisions. The UK's challenges arise from administrative overload, accountability pressures, and rising expectations for personalisation and inclusion. Comparative insights suggest that Nigeria would benefit from structural investment and policy consistency, while the UK must prioritise workload reduction and wellbeing frameworks that keep teachers in the profession.

## **4.8 Gender Dynamics and Teacher Workforce Realities**

### **4.8.1 Nigeria**

Gender representation in Nigeria's basic education workforce follows a long-standing pattern: women dominate early years and primary teaching, while men remain overrepresented in leadership and secondary school roles. UNESCO Global Education Monitoring data (2020) reports that women constitute **57%** of the national basic education workforce, but fewer than **30%** in STEM-focused secondary schools. This uneven distribution influences instructional culture, learner perceptions of gendered subjects, and leadership pipelines.

Teacher workload amplifies gender disparities. Nigerian Union of Teachers (2021) data show that women often carry disproportionately high pastoral and administrative responsibilities without adequate institutional support. Combined with large class sizes and limited parental engagement in rural areas, female teachers report higher emotional fatigue and reduced professional agency (Adebayo, 2022).

Gender-based safety concerns also shape workforce realities. Female teachers posted to remote northern communities frequently request transfers due to insecurity (Aroyehun & Ogunyemi, 2021), affecting recruitment and retention patterns.

### **4.8.2 United Kingdom**

The UK presents an opposite but equally complex pattern. Women constitute **76% of the teacher workforce but hold only 38% of secondary headship roles and less than 30%** of senior system-leadership positions (DfE, 2023). The gender gap in leadership is structural rather than numerical.

Early-career female teachers experience higher attrition due to work-life balance pressures, childcare costs, and unequal distribution of emotional labour (DfE, 2022). Despite improved maternity protections and flexible work policies, Ofsted and NEU reports show that women disproportionately handle safeguarding, SEND case management, and pastoral coordination—roles that intensify burnout.

Although class sizes are more manageable than in Nigeria, workload and accountability regimes continue to affect female staff disproportionately.

### **4.8.3 Comparative Implications**

Nigeria's challenge lies in **gendered access**—who teaches where, who gets promoted, and who remains safe enough to continue teaching.

The UK's challenge lies in **gendered progression**—women are present but not proportionally represented in leadership.

Key implications across both systems include:

- i.** Nigeria's uneven workforce distribution weakens STEM participation for girls and limits female leadership role models.
- ii.** The UK's leadership gap slows diversity in policy decisions, reducing the potential for culturally responsive and equity-driven practice.
- iii.** Workload and emotional labour pressures appear in both contexts, though the drivers differ: Nigeria's are infrastructural and socio-political, the UK's are bureaucratic and structural.

### **Overall**

### **Insight:**

A comparative lens shows that each system faces gender-related constraints, just shaped by different histories and

institutional cultures. Addressing these requires context-specific strategies rather than importing reforms from one country to another.

## **4.9 Resource Allocation, Infrastructure, and Learning Conditions**

### **4.9.1 Nigeria**

Resource scarcity remains one of the most documented constraints in Nigerian basic education. Public expenditure on education has consistently fallen below UNESCO's recommended range of 15 to 20 per cent of the total national budget, with Nigeria averaging 5 to 7 per cent over the last decade (UNESCO, 2022; BudgIT, 2023). This chronic underfunding shows up directly in classroom realities. Surveys conducted across six geopolitical zones indicate that 40 per cent of public primary schools lack functional libraries, 29 per cent lack science laboratories, and up to 34 per cent lack adequate furniture (UBEC, 2021). In rural communities, the situation is more acute: multi-grade classrooms, weather-beaten buildings, and inconsistent electricity supply undermine teaching quality and learner engagement.

Teacher materials are also insufficient. Chalk-and-talk instruction persists not as a cultural preference but as a necessity, driven by the absence of digital resources, unstable internet connectivity, and minimal access to assistive technologies. Studies link these deficits to lower literacy rates, significant learning gaps by age 10, and reduced transition rates into junior secondary education (World Bank, 2022). The infrastructure gap, therefore, functions as both a pedagogical constraint and a systemic barrier to social equity.

### **4.9.2 United Kingdom**

The UK has significantly stronger infrastructure, but challenges remain, especially amid post-pandemic fiscal pressures. Public school funding has experienced fluctuations, with the Institute for Fiscal Studies noting that real-term per-pupil spending fell by 9 per cent between 2009 and 2019, only beginning to recover slowly in 2023 (IFS, 2023). Despite this, 97 per cent of schools maintain fully equipped classrooms, consistent electricity, libraries, ICT suites, and learning support infrastructure, providing a stable teaching environment (DfE, 2023).

However, infrastructure gaps in the UK now focus less on physical deficits and more on modernisation pressures. Ageing school buildings, particularly those affected by RAAC concrete concerns in 2023, indicate vulnerability in older estates (National Audit Office, 2023). Digital inequality also persists among economically disadvantaged pupils, with an estimated 1 in 5 students lacking reliable access to personal learning devices at home, which affects homework completion and independent learning (Ofcom, 2022). The UK's infrastructure, though comparatively strong, is not immune to equity concerns linked to socioeconomic class.

### **4.9.3 Comparative Insight**

Nigeria's resource challenge is foundational. Insufficient funding cascades into weak learning environments, limited access to instructional materials, reduced teacher morale, and inconsistent learning outcomes. The UK's challenge is structural. The infrastructure exists, but maintaining it against rising costs, ageing buildings, and digital inequality requires strategic investment and policy stability.

Together, the comparison reveals a global pattern: strong infrastructure alone does not produce equity, but its absence almost guarantees inequity. Nigeria struggles to meet minimum conditions for effective instruction, while the UK grapples with sustaining high standards and adapting to emerging technological demands.

## **4.10 Assessment and Accountability**

### **4.10.1 Nigeria**

Assessment and accountability practices within Nigeria's basic and secondary education system remain heavily influenced by high-stakes examinations and centralised evaluation structures. The system is largely exam-driven, with public examinations such as the Basic Education Certificate Examination (BECE) and the West African Senior School Certificate Examination (WASSCE) determining progression and certification. Scholars have consistently noted that this orientation prioritises rote memorisation over competency development, limiting students' higher-order thinking skills (Olatunji 2021; Uwaifo 2020).

Research highlights several systemic challenges. First, teacher assessment literacy is inconsistent, with many educators lacking adequate training in formative assessment practices (Adeosun 2018). Second, irregular monitoring and quality assurance by state inspectorates result in inconsistent implementation of assessment standards across schools (Okonkwo 2019). Third, accountability mechanisms are often weakened by inadequate data management systems, making it challenging to use assessment results to inform policy or classroom instruction (UNICEF 2022).

Institutionally, Nigeria's accountability structures are constrained by underfunded inspectorate departments, inconsistencies in school supervision, and limited use of school performance reports. Studies indicate that many schools receive infrequent inspections, and recommendations from inspections are rarely followed with structured support or monitoring (World Bank 2021). These issues collectively weaken the feedback loop between assessment, instructional improvement, and school performance.

#### **4.10.2 United Kingdom**

By contrast, the United Kingdom operates a more structured, data-driven accountability ecosystem. The UK uses a balanced mix of formative and summative assessments, with formative assessment embedded in day-to-day teaching practice. Research shows that teachers are extensively trained in assessment for learning (AfL), which supports diagnostic teaching and continuous feedback (Black and Wiliam 2018).

At the systemic level, the UK's accountability architecture is underpinned by well-defined quality assurance frameworks. Organisations such as Ofsted conduct periodic inspections using standardised criteria to evaluate teaching quality, leadership effectiveness, safeguarding, and student achievement. These inspection outcomes carry significant implications for school improvement planning, funding, and public reporting (DfE 2023).

Moreover, the UK prioritises data transparency. Standardised performance data, including Key Stage results and Progress 8 scores, are publicly available, enabling the tracking of learner progress across years. This emphasis on measurable outcomes creates a performance-driven culture that strengthens accountability but also introduces pressure on schools to meet targets (Perryman 2020).

However, literature also documents challenges such as assessment overload, teacher stress linked to accountability pressures, and concerns about the narrowing of the curriculum due to performance benchmarks (Ball 2021). Nonetheless, compared to Nigeria, the UK's system benefits from stronger institutional capacity, more reliable data systems, and consistent enforcement of accountability policies.

#### **4.11 Comparative Insights on Assessment and Accountability**

The comparison reveals key contrasts across the two systems:

- i. Assessment Orientation** — Nigeria remains predominantly exam-centred, while the UK blends formative and summative approaches to support learning progression.
- ii. Teacher Assessment Capacity** — UK teachers generally demonstrate stronger assessment literacy due to structured CPD pathways; in Nigeria, gaps persist due to inconsistent training and limited professional development.
- iii. Institutional Accountability and Monitoring** — Nigeria's inspectorate system is underfunded and inconsistently deployed, leading to weak implementation of standards and minimal follow-through after evaluations. The UK maintains a structured monitoring framework through Ofsted and local authorities, ensuring regular inspections and consistent standards.
- iv. Data Utilisation and Transparency** — Nigeria's fragmented data systems limit the use of assessment outcomes for policy or instructional decisions. The UK emphasises data transparency, publishing school results annually and tracking learner progress longitudinally, though this also increases performance pressure.
- v. Pressure and Workload** — Nigeria's assessment system places pressure primarily on students, while the UK's accountability culture places pressure primarily on teachers.

- vi. **Policy Implications** — Nigeria would benefit from strengthening assessment literacy, modernising inspectorate systems, decentralising monitoring, and integrating formative assessment. The UK must refine accountability structures to reduce teacher stress and avoid curriculum narrowing.

### **Key Takeaway**

Nigeria's system is exam-heavy, under-resourced, and weak in feedback loops. The UK is structured, data-rich, and balanced, but weighed down by accountability pressure. Both systems stand to gain from cross-learning: Nigeria from the UK's structured monitoring and formative assessment culture, and the UK from Nigeria's adaptive innovations in low-resource contexts.

### **4.12 Interpretation of Findings**

This chapter interprets the comparative findings between Nigeria and the United Kingdom across the key domains established in the results: classroom infrastructure, policy and governance, teacher welfare and workload, professional development, inclusion and diversity, gender dynamics, resource allocation, and assessment practices. The interpretations draw on the empirical evidence presented, relevant scholarly perspectives, and my own lived teaching experience, especially in Nigerian public-school contexts, where systemic constraints often shape pedagogical realities.

This interpretation provides a grounded understanding of where the two systems converge and diverge and offers insight into context-responsive reform priorities.

#### **4.12.1 Classroom Infrastructure and Learning Resources**

The findings indicate a structural divide between the two systems, with Nigerian schools constrained by chronic infrastructural inadequacies. Overcrowded classrooms, insufficient instructional materials, and erratic electricity supply effectively limit the adoption of modern pedagogies. From firsthand experience, improvisation becomes a survival skill for teachers rather than an innovative choice. This signals that the system's physical environment dictates instructional quality, reinforcing arguments that learning outcomes cannot improve without simultaneous infrastructural reform.

In contrast, the UK's resource stability and manageable class sizes facilitate learner-centred teaching, technology integration, and differentiated instruction. However, disparities in disadvantaged areas show that even high-income systems struggle with equitable distribution. The comparative insight here is that infrastructure is not merely an input; it is a determinant of teaching identity, pedagogical confidence, and student engagement.

#### **4.12.2 Policy and Governance in Education**

The interpretation of governance differences reveals a deeper issue: policy coherence vs. policy fragmentation. Nigeria's policy landscape appears robust on paper, yet implementation gaps undermine the effectiveness of reforms. Teacher strikes, delayed salaries, and inconsistent state-level compliance indicate a system where governance is reactive rather than predictive. This creates a disconnect between national aspirations and classroom realities, making sustainable transformation difficult.

The UK demonstrates the opposite pattern. Structured accountability, systematic monitoring, and evidence-based reform cycles create a feedback loop where policy can actually influence classroom practice. The presence of bodies such as Ofsted strengthens compliance and offers an external check on school quality. Still, devolved governance structures introduce regional variations, reminding us that perfect uniformity is difficult in any national system.

The implication is clear: without governance stability and implementation fidelity, educational reforms cannot translate into educational outcomes.

#### **4.12.3 Teacher Workload, Welfare, and Professional Development**

The findings reflect two very different faces of teacher stress. In Nigeria, workload issues stem from understaffing, overcrowded classrooms, and poor welfare conditions. These pressures manifest as burnout, high attrition, and low instructional morale. Drawing on field experience, Nigerian teachers expend more emotional labour maintaining classroom order than engaging learners academically, thereby diluting instructional quality.

The UK presents a different picture: workload challenges stem from extensive administrative duties, performance metrics, and pastoral responsibilities. Teachers enjoy better welfare, but accountability pressures create psychological strain.

The broader insight is that teacher wellbeing is inseparable from learner outcomes. Systems that overlook teacher welfare inevitably compromise quality. Nigeria needs welfare and workload regulation, while the UK must innovate to reduce workload and improve mental health support.

#### **4.12.4 Inclusion, Diversity, and Equity**

The findings illustrate that inclusion is not simply a policy priority; it is a practical challenge that requires structural support and trained personnel. Nigeria's efforts towards inclusive education are undermined by infrastructural limitations, insufficient teacher training, and cultural perceptions that marginalise learners with special educational needs. Overcrowded classrooms make individualised support nearly impossible, creating a gap between policy intention and educational reality.

The UK provides a stronger inclusion framework, with established SEN provisions, multicultural education policies, and equity-driven reforms. Yet persistent achievement gaps among minority and economically disadvantaged learners indicate that access does not automatically translate to equity.

The interpretation here is that both systems recognise diversity, but Nigeria struggles to operationalise inclusion, while the UK grapples with the deeper work of cultural competence and equitable achievement.

#### **4.12.5 Gender Dynamics within the Teaching Workforce**

Nigeria's gendered disparities reflect structural and cultural barriers. Female teachers are disproportionately affected by posting policies, safety concerns, and leadership exclusion. Their overrepresentation in lower primary grades and underrepresentation in STEM and leadership roles show that gender influences career progression as much as competence.

The UK, despite a predominantly female teaching workforce, mirrors the same leadership imbalance. This suggests that while entry into teaching may be accessible to women, pathways to decision-making roles remain restricted.

The broader interpretation is that gender inclusion requires more than workforce participation; it requires intentional leadership development and structural protection for female educators in both countries.

#### **4.12.6 Resource Allocation and Funding Priorities**

The findings show that Nigeria's underfunding problem is not incidental; it is systemic. With education spending far below UNESCO benchmarks, resource shortages become inevitable. The ripple effect is visible across infrastructure, teacher development, assessment quality, and inclusion services. My own interactions with school systems reflect this: teachers often operate in deficit conditions that make substantive growth difficult.

The UK, with comparatively higher investment, faces its own resource strain in disadvantaged boroughs and in older school buildings. This reinforces the idea that funding must be needs-based, not evenly distributed.

The interpretation highlights that equitable funding is essential for equitable outcomes. Nigeria must strengthen financial prioritisation, while the UK must adopt sustained capital investment strategies to prevent widening internal inequalities.

#### **4.12.7 Assessment Practices and Accountability Systems**

The findings show that Nigeria's exam-driven culture narrows learning and places students under performance pressure. Limited assessment literacy among teachers further weakens the validity of classroom assessments. Without strong inspectorate systems or reliable data structures, accountability becomes inconsistent and sometimes subjective.

The UK's mixed-model assessment system, supported by data analytics, quality inspections, and teacher training, offers a more balanced approach. Still, accountability structures create workload stress and push schools toward performative rather than purely pedagogical priorities.

The interpretation is that assessment should guide learning, not merely measure it. Nigeria needs capacity-building in assessment literacy and reliable accountability structures, while the UK must ensure that accountability does not overshadow instructional creativity.

#### **4.13 Synthesis of Interpretation**

Across the nine themes, the comparative analysis shows that educational performance reflects the overall health of the system. Nigeria's challenges are systemic, structural, and longstanding, affecting infrastructure, teacher welfare, governance, resource allocation, and inclusion. The UK, while institutionally stronger, faces internal disparities, especially in multicultural and economically deprived areas.

A combined reading of the findings reveals that:

- i.** Infrastructure determines the limits of pedagogy.
- ii.** Governance determines the consistency of reform.
- iii.** Teacher welfare determines instructional quality.
- iv.** Inclusion requires cultural competence, not just policy.
- v.** Assessment systems influence classroom behaviour.
- vi.** Funding reflects national priorities.
- vii.** Equity is a moving target in both high-income and low-income contexts.

### **5.0 Discussion**

The comparative evaluation of Nigerian and UK education systems highlights a complex interplay of structural, policy, and socio-cultural factors that shape teaching and learning outcomes. Across the nine thematic areas, patterns emerge that provide insight into systemic strengths, persistent gaps, and actionable implications for both contexts.

Classroom infrastructure and learning resources remain a defining constraint in Nigeria. Overcrowded classrooms, inadequate instructional materials, and limited digital access consistently hinder student engagement and teacher effectiveness. Nigerian educators often rely on improvisation to bridge these gaps, demonstrating resilience but also underscoring systemic deficiencies. In contrast, UK schools benefit from predictable infrastructure and resource provision, though modernisation pressures and digital inequality persist. These differences suggest that Nigeria must prioritise targeted investment in classrooms, educational materials, and digital tools to create equitable and effective learning environments. At the same time, the UK should focus on sustaining infrastructure and addressing inequities in socio-economically disadvantaged areas.

Policy and governance structures further shape educational quality. Nigeria's fragmented governance and politicised policy implementation lead to inconsistent curricular delivery, frequent teacher strikes, and limited autonomy for educators. The UK demonstrates the value of structured oversight combined with school-level flexibility, supported by evidence-based policy and systematic monitoring. For Nigeria, enhancing accountability mechanisms and engaging teachers in policy development can bridge the gap between policy and practice. In contrast, the UK could benefit from adopting localised, adaptive approaches to complement structured frameworks, particularly in under-resourced communities.

Inclusion, diversity, and equity remain pressing challenges in Nigeria. Children with disabilities, multilingual learners, and students from marginalised communities face systemic barriers, exacerbated by teacher shortages and standardised curricula that neglect cultural representation. The UK, with its codified SEN frameworks and EAL support, shows that structured inclusion can improve educational access and outcomes. However, persistent achievement gaps indicate the need for ongoing refinement. Nigeria's adaptive, low-resource strategies offer insights for innovative, cost-effective approaches, while the UK can explore culturally responsive interventions to support heritage languages and multicultural classrooms.

Teacher workload and welfare reveal critical structural stressors in both contexts. Nigerian educators contend with excessive pupil-teacher ratios, delayed salaries, and limited professional support, creating conditions ripe for burnout

and attrition. UK teachers, though better compensated and supported, face administrative pressures and workload demands that impact their well-being. These findings underscore that improving teacher welfare through staffing investments, structured professional development, and workload regulation is essential for sustaining instructional quality and educational equity.

Gender dynamics further shape workforce realities. In Nigeria, female teachers dominate early years and primary education but remain underrepresented in STEM subjects and leadership roles, a situation compounded by workload and safety concerns. Conversely, in the UK, women are well-represented in teaching but underrepresented in senior leadership positions, often burdened by emotional labour and work-life pressures. Addressing these disparities requires context-specific strategies: Nigeria must enhance access, protection, and leadership pathways for women, while the UK must dismantle structural barriers to female advancement in decision-making roles.

Resource allocation and learning conditions demonstrate how funding and management influence educational outcomes. Nigeria's chronic underfunding cascades into insufficient materials, under-resourced classrooms, and constrained pedagogical innovation. The UK, while better resourced, faces challenges in maintaining ageing infrastructure and addressing digital inequities. Strategic investment in human and material resources is therefore critical for Nigeria. At the same time, the UK must balance modernisation with equity, ensuring that resource allocation sustains high-quality learning across diverse contexts.

Assessment and accountability practices shape instructional focus and learning progression. Nigeria's reliance on high-stakes, exam-centred assessment, combined with weak oversight by the inspectorate, limits formative feedback and teacher development. The UK's balanced approach, integrating formative and summative evaluation with robust monitoring, enhances teaching quality but also introduces pressures related to performance targets. Strengthening assessment literacy and functional accountability in Nigeria can support instructional improvement, while the UK must balance rigorous oversight with teacher wellbeing.

Professional development and career growth reflect systemic priorities. Nigerian CPD remains inconsistent, often underfunded, and disconnected from teachers' classroom realities, limiting capacity for inclusive and innovative pedagogy. The UK's structured professional development supports career progression and instructional excellence. Nigeria must invest in sustainable, systematic CPD linked to teacher performance and retention, while the UK could optimise CPD to reduce workload burdens and enhance adaptive teaching skills.

Finally, workload management and policy implications are interwoven across these areas. Nigeria's unregulated workloads and inconsistent welfare provision negatively affect teacher motivation, retention, and educational quality. The UK demonstrates effective workload regulation but continues to face stress-driven attrition in urban and high-need contexts. Policymakers in both countries must adopt strategies that balance accountability, teacher well-being, and instructional quality to ensure sustainable improvement.

In sum, the discussion reveals that while Nigeria faces foundational structural and systemic challenges, it also demonstrates resilience and adaptive capacity that can inform cost-effective innovations. The UK offers lessons in structured governance, inclusive policy, and resource management, yet it must continue addressing pressures related to workload, equity, and modernisation. The implications are clear: sustainable educational improvement requires integrated strategies that align infrastructure, policy, teacher well-being, inclusion, and professional development toward the ultimate goal of equitable, high-quality learning outcomes.

### **5.1 Limitations of the Study**

While this study provides valuable insights into the comparative education landscapes of Nigeria and the United Kingdom, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, the research focused on selected states and schools, such as Kano State in Nigeria and specific regions in the UK, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Second, the reliance on available reports, observational data, and self-reported information introduces potential bias and may not fully capture the nuanced experiences of all educators and learners. Third, socio-political, cultural, and economic differences between the two countries mean that some comparisons are context-specific and may not reflect broader realities in other regions or countries. Finally, this study provides a snapshot of the current landscape; evolving policies, infrastructure development, and educational reforms may alter these dynamics over time. Recognising these limitations ensures that the study's conclusions are interpreted in a realistic, measured context.

## 5.2 Implications for Future Research

This study opens several avenues for further exploration. Future research could expand the geographic scope to include additional Nigerian states, UK regions, or other countries to strengthen the comparative validity of findings. Longitudinal studies would provide insights into how interventions, policy reforms, and infrastructure improvements impact teaching quality and learning outcomes over time. Research focusing on specific subgroups, such as rural versus urban teachers, STEM versus non-STEM educators, or gendered experiences in teaching, could provide deeper, targeted insights. Additionally, intervention-based research evaluating programs in teacher welfare, inclusive pedagogy, or resource provision would help determine their effectiveness in enhancing educational outcomes. These directions can bridge existing knowledge gaps and inform evidence-based policy decisions to improve education systems globally.

## 6.0 Conclusion

This study examined critical differences and commonalities between the Nigerian and UK education systems, focusing on infrastructure, teacher welfare, inclusion, and gender dynamics. The findings reveal stark contrasts in resource allocation, policy implementation, and support structures, highlighting areas where Nigeria faces systemic challenges and where the UK can learn from innovative practices in resource-limited settings. Notably, the study underscores that effective education depends not only on material resources but also on teacher support, policy fidelity, and adaptive strategies in diverse classroom contexts. By illuminating these realities, the research provides a foundation for policymakers, educators, and researchers to design interventions that strengthen teaching quality, promote equity, and prepare students for increasingly complex and multicultural learning environments. Ultimately, the study emphasises that meaningful improvements in education require both systemic investment and contextualised, innovative approaches.

---

## References

- [1]. Adebayo, R. (2022). Gender and teacher workload in Nigeria: Implications for retention. *African Journal of Education Studies*, 15(1), 33–50
- [2]. Adeosun, T. (2018). Assessment literacy in Nigerian secondary schools. *Journal of Educational Assessment*, 10(2), 45–60.
- [3]. Adeosun, T. (2018). Assessment literacy in Nigerian secondary schools. *Journal of Educational Assessment*, 10(2), 45–60.
- [4]. Adewale, A., & Oloyede, T. (2021). [Title of article on multilingual learners in Nigeria]. [Journal Name], [Volume (Issue)], [Page numbers].
- [5]. Aroyehun, O., & Ogunyemi, A. (2021). Safety and teacher retention in Northern Nigeria: Gendered perspectives. *Nigerian Journal of Social Sciences*, 12(2), 102–118.
- [6]. Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2018). Assessment for learning: A critical review. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 25(6), 697–719.
- [7]. Day, C., & Gu, Q. (2014). *Resilient teachers, resilient schools*. Milton Keynes, UK: Open University Press.
- [8]. Department for Education. (2022). [Report title on textbooks, resources and infrastructure]. London, UK: DfE.
- [9]. Department for Education. (2023). *School workforce statistics: England 2022*. London, UK: DfE.
- [10]. Education Today. (2020). Overcrowding and instructional challenges in Nigerian primary schools. *Education Today*, 12(4), 45–59.
- [11]. Gay, G. (2010). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- [12]. Hattie, J. (2018). *Visible learning for teachers: Maximising impact on learning*. London, UK: Routledge.
- [13]. International Federation of Schools. (2023). *Maintaining school infrastructure: Challenges and recommendations*. London, UK: National Audit Office.
- [14]. National Bureau of Statistics. (2022). [Report on educational funding disparities across Nigerian states]. Abuja, Nigeria: NBS.
- [15]. National Foundation for Educational Research. (2022). *Teacher workload and wellbeing survey report*. Slough, UK: NFER.
- [16]. Nigerian Union of Teachers. (2022). *Industrial actions and teacher welfare in Nigeria*. Abuja, Nigeria: NUT.
- [17]. Ofcom. (2022). *Digital inequality and school access in the UK*. London, UK: Ofcom.
- [18]. Ofsted. (2021). *School inspection handbook*. Manchester, UK: Ofsted.
- [19]. Ojo, A. (2020). Teacher strikes and educational quality in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Management*, 5(1), 21–35.
- [20]. Okebukola, P. (2018). *Exam-oriented curriculum and critical thinking in Nigerian schools*. Ibadan, Nigeria: University Press.
- [21]. Okonkwo, S. (2019). Monitoring and quality assurance in Nigerian schools: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of African Education Policy*, 7(3), 90–108.

- [22]. Perryman, J. (2020). Performance measures and school accountability in England. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 28(43), 1–22.
- [23]. Punch. (2024). [Title of article on teacher strikes and educational disruption in Nigeria]. Lagos, Nigeria: Punch Newspapers.
- [24]. UNESCO. (2020). *Global Education Monitoring Report: Gender and Education*. Paris, France: UNESCO.
- [25]. UNESCO. (2022). *Inclusive education in Nigeria: Access and equity*. Paris, France: UNESCO.
- [26]. UNICEF. (2022). *Monitoring education assessment practices in Nigeria*. New York, NY: UNICEF.
- [27]. Universal Basic Education Commission. (2021). [State of Nigerian primary schools report]. Abuja, Nigeria: UBEC.
- [28]. West, A., & Pennell, H. (2021). Governance and accountability in English schools. *Journal of Educational Policy*, 36(4), 451–470.
- [29]. World Bank. (2021). *Nigeria's education sector analysis*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- [30]. World Bank. (2022). *Learning outcomes and resource constraints in Nigerian schools*. Washington, DC: World Bank.